



## Publication by a BBMRI.at partner with biobank involvement

## Comparison of automated SARS-CoV-2 serology assays in assessing virus neutralization capacity

## Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2022, Feb 2022

BBMRI.at partner Biobank Graz together with researchers at Med Uni Graz, have established several different COVID-19-related sample cohorts. One of these, the <u>COVID-19 Convalescent</u> <u>Cohort</u>, the researchers and biobankers used in a study on the comparison of automated SARS-CoV-2 serology assays. A scientific paper is now published in Arch Pathol Lab Med., Feb 2022.

Serological tests on automated immunology analyzers used to monitor the acquired immunity against SARS-CoV-2 often show heterogeneous results. Researchers from BBMRI.at partner Med Uni Graz with Biobank Graz involvement, therefore, analysed six different SARS-CoV-2 serology assays concerning their diagnostic performance and comparability in sera from COVID-19 convalescents.

The results revealed that the different serological SARS-CoV-2 antibody tests were poorly comparable. Biggest discrepancies were observed in detecting antibody titer changes.

However, these tests are still a reliable surrogate test for SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies in patients recovered from COVID-19 with an appropriate validation.

### Read details in the original article>

Niedrist T, Drexler C, Torreiter PP, Matejka J, Strahlhofer-Augsten M, Kral S, Riegler S, Gülly C, Zurl C, Kriegl L, Krause R, Berghold A, Steinmetz I, Schlenke P, Herrmann M. Longitudinal comparison of automated SARS-CoV-2 serology assays in assessing virus neutralization capacity in COVID-19 convalescent sera.

Arch Pathol Lab Med. 2022 Jan 27. doi: 10.5858/arpa.2021-0604-SA. Epub ahead of print. PMID: 35085385.



Tobias Ni seluk, MB; Camilla Dován; MB; Pancis Pud; Torvine(JM); Alla Manjka; Hansala Zanéholer-Aupten, PhD; Sabrina Kot, MS; Shakas Bingler, MA; Chmillan Gally, PhB; Christoph Zuri, MB; Lias Kingl, HD; Babert Kossas, MD; Andrea Benghali, PhB; No Sahrwatz, MD; Paler Zahlania, MD; Markas Hennanz, MD 🖬

https://doi.org/10.5858/arpa.2021-0604-5

 $\textcircled{\label{eq:post}}$  Spit-Screen  $\fbox{\label{eq:post}}$  PDF  $O_{O}^{O}$  Share  $\lor$   $e_{V}^{O}$  Tools  $\lor$ 

# ABSTRACT

Serological tests on automated immunology analyzers are increasingly used to moritor the acquired im against SARS CoV-2. The heterogeneity of assays raises concerns about their diagnostic performance an comparability.

rupective.o test sera from formerly infected individuals for SARS-Cov-2 antibodies utilizing six automated serology says and a pseudoneutralization test (PNT).

Design— 55 styles (20 zerology assays users willed to asses 154 samples collected during a 12 months period from 131 COVD 101 constructors. The test determined either articledes against the wird trackroscopid (and KC) or 134 periods (1965 S) assays det for diffusion between articledy calculated services the either and the service measured memorglabulies G (g)() articledes. PMT was used to detect the presence of restrations; articledes:

#### Results.-

Image

L'ampartie de glacitate et autoritation d'articul de la construcción de transmission de la degla construlagen 4.33, Significant construitation (P. 201), que a desarrela de las esta attabado diras from al quantitati es auxo, Nonexe, chi compare servi es detected qualos A stad a de S assuy measurel processe in 23.0 es d'17.2 cons (P. 201), es antico de la construitation de la construitatione de la construitation de la construitation de la const

Comparability of senslogical SARS-CoV2 antibody tests is rather poor. Due to different diagnostic specificities, the tested assays were not equally capable of capturing changes in antibody liters. However, with thoroughly validated cut-offs, ligs elective anti-S assays are a reliable surrogate test for SARS-CoV2 neutralizing antibodus is hore CMIN-10 antibication.

BBMRI.at | Neue Stiftingtalstasse 2/B/6, 8010 Graz - AUSTRIA



Funded by GZ 10.470/0016-II/3/2013 (2013-2018) BMBWF-10.470/0010-V/3c/2018 (2018-2023) Login